

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 1063 P107336-00018 Sadaji Tsuge 02/21/2001 09/788,339 EXAMINER 03/24/2004 MUTSCHLER, BRIAN L ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN, PLLC Suite 600 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. 1753 Washington, DC 20036-5339

**DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004** 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		th.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	09/788,339	TSUGE, SADAJI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brian L. Mutschler	1753
The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
Period for Reply	COLVIC SET TO EVOIDE AN	IONTH(S) FROM
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory of  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a son. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	27 February 2004.	
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a	llowance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the ments is
closed in accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.I	J. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,5 and 7</u> is/are pending in t	he application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,5 and 7</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 3 / CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P1O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received in	Application No
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the		en received in this National Stage
application from the International		
* See the attached detailed Office action fo	r a list of the certified copies no	ot received.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	/ <del></del>	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC	() Nation	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	b) [_] Otner: _	·

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/788,339

Art Unit: 1753

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 27, 2004, has been entered.

#### **Comments**

- 2. Applicant's cancellation of claims 3, 6, and 8 in the response received February 27, 2004, is acknowledged.
- In light of Applicant's cancellation of claim 6, the rejection of claims 1-7 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hanoka et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,353,042) in view of Yamagishi et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,300,556), in view of Nakagawa et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,858,120) and in view of JP 11-307791 has been withdrawn because the rejection is now redundant.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 1753

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 11-307791, herein referred to as JP '791, in view of Yamagishi et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,300,556) and in view of Green et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,942,050).

Regarding claim 1, JP '791 disclose a solar cell module comprising a solar cell 1 encapsulated within a sealing resin 2, and having a glass front surface side light transmitting member 3 and a resin film rear surface member 4 (fig. 1). Both the front surface side light transmitting member 3 and the rear surface member 4 transmit incident light (fig. 1). The sealing resin 2 is interposed between the front surface light transmitting member 3 and the solar cells 1 and is also interposed between the rear surface member 4 and the solar cells 1 (fig. 1). The solar cell 1 comprises a n-type crystalline silicon substrate 11 and has amorphous silicon semiconductor layers 12, 13, 16 and 17 formed thereon, including p-type amorphous layer 14, which forms a pin junction with the substrate 11 (fig. 2). The solar cell 1 also has two transparent electrodes 14 and 18 at the top and bottom surfaces (fig. 2). These electrodes allow light to enter from both the front and rear surfaces of the solar cell module (fig. 1).

Regarding claim 2, light is incident from both sides of the solar cell (fig. 1).

Regarding claims 4 and 5, the rear surface member is formed of a transparent resin film (PET) (see figure 1 and paragraph [0025]).

Regarding claim 7, the solar cell element 1 comprises four amorphous semiconductor layers 12, 13, 16 and 17 (fig. 2).

Art Unit: 1753

The solar cell module of JP '791 differs from the instant invention because JP '791 does not disclose that the front surface side light transmitting member contains sodium and that a p-n junction is formed between the crystalline substrate and the thin film amorphous semiconductor layer, as recited in claim 1.

Regarding claim 1, Yamagishi et al. disclose the use of soda lime glass, which contains sodium, as a surface member (col. 7, line 29). Soda lime glass is a conventional glass used in solar cell modules because it is inexpensive.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the solar cell module of JP '791 to use soda lime glass as the front surface member, as taught by Yamagishi et al., because soda lime glass is very inexpensive and provides excellent weather resistance. The selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use supported a *prima facie* obviousness determination in *Sinclair & Carroll Co. v. Interchemical Corp.*, 325 U.S. 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945). See MPEP § 2144.07.

JP '791 discloses an intrinsic layer **12** between the n-type crystalline substrate **11** and the p-type amorphous layer **13**. Intrinsic layers help reduce recombination at the junction, but do not alter the operation of the junction between the p-type and n-type semiconductor layers. (On page 5 of Applicant's response received February 27, 2004, Applicant acknowledges the junction of JP '791 as a p-n junction.) Green et al. teaches that intrinsic layers are optional (col. 4, lines 61-63). The omission of an element and its function is obvious if the function of the element is not desired. *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ

Art Unit: 1753

2031 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989). See MPEP § 2144.04. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the solar cell element of JP '791 by deleting the intrinsic layer because the omission of an element and its function is obvious if the function is not desired and Green et al. teach that intrinsic layers are optional.

6. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hanoka et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,353,042) in view of Yamagishi et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,300,556), JP 11-307791, and Green et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,942,050).

Regarding claim 1, Hanoka et al. disclose a solar cell module having a plurality of solar cells 22 encapsulated within a sealing material 10 (fig. 2). A front surface light transmitting member 26 is made of glass, and a rear surface member 28 is made of glass or a resin, such as Tedlar™, a transparent film (col. 5, line 65 to col. 6, line 9). A transparent film would allow light to enter from both sides of the solar cell. The solar cells 22 may comprise crystalline or amorphous material and may be made of silicon or one of several other semiconductor materials (col. 1, lines 31-35; col. 6, lines 19-59). Hanoka et al. specifically disclose a module as shown in figure 2, "a solar cell module 20 in which the encapsulant material 10 encapsulates interconnected crystalline silicon solar cells 22" (col. 5, lines 55-57). Hanoka et al. is silent on the details of the junction within the crystalline silicon solar cells 22.

Regarding claims 2, 4, and 5, Hanoka et al. disclose a front surface light transmitting member **26** is made of glass, and a rear surface member **28** is made of

Art Unit: 1753

glass or a resin, such as Tedlar™, a transparent film (col. 5, line 65 to col. 6, line 9).

This structure permits light to enter from either side of the solar cell.

The solar cell module disclosed by Hanoka et al. differs from the instant invention because Hanoka et al. do not disclose the following:

- a. The front surface member containing sodium, as recited in claim 1.
- b. The solar cell having a p- or n-type crystalline silicon substrate and an nor p-type semiconductor layer formed on the substrate to form a p-n junction, as recited in claim 1.
- c. The crystalline substrate is positioned on a side of the front surface side light transmitting member and the semiconductor layer is positioned on a side of the rear surface side member, as recited in claim 1.

Regarding claim 1, Yamagishi et al. disclose the use of soda lime glass, which contains sodium, as a surface member (col. 7, line 29). Soda lime glass is a conventional glass used in solar cell modules because it is inexpensive.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the solar cell module of Hanoka et al. to use soda lime glass as the front surface member, as taught by Yamagishi et al., because soda lime glass is very inexpensive and provides excellent weather resistance.

Regarding claim 1, JP '791 disclose a solar cell module comprising a solar cell 1 encapsulated within a sealing resin 2, and having a glass front surface side light

rear surfaces of the solar cell module (fig. 1).

Art Unit: 1753

transmitting member 3 and a resin film rear surface member 4 (fig. 1). The solar cell 1 comprises a n-type crystalline silicon substrate 11 and has amorphous silicon semiconductor layers 12, 13, 16 and 17 formed thereon, including p-type layer 13 (fig. 2). The solar cell 1 also has two transparent electrodes 14 and 18 on the top and bottom surfaces (fig. 2). These electrodes allow light to enter from both the front and

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the solar cell module of Hanoka et al. to use a crystalline silicon substrate and an amorphous layer forming a heterojunction, as taught by JP '791, because the solar cell of JP '791 efficiently utilizes all of the light incident on both sides of the solar cell.

JP '791 discloses an intrinsic layer **12** between the n-type crystalline substrate **11** and the p-type amorphous layer **13**. Intrinsic layers help reduce recombination at the junction, but do not alter the operation of the junction between the p-type and n-type semiconductor layers. (On page 5 of Applicant's response received February 27, 2004, Applicant acknowledges the junction of JP '791 as a p-n junction.) Green et al. teaches that intrinsic layers are optional (col. 4, lines 61-63). The omission of an element and its function is obvious if the function of the element is not desired. *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ 2031 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989). See MPEP § 2144.04. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the solar cell element of JP '791 by deleting the intrinsic layer because

Art Unit: 1753

the omission of an element and its function is obvious if the function is not desired and Green et al. teach that intrinsic layers are optional.

### Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed February 27, 2004, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- Regarding the rejection of the claims over JP '791 and Yamagishi, Applicant 8. argues that the combination "fail[s] to teach and/or suggest a solar cell element having a transparent electrode at one side of a p-type or n-type thin crystalline silicon substrate, on which a transparent electrode is formed" and "the crystalline silicon substrate positioned between the thin film amorphous semiconductor layer and the light transmitting member" (see pages 5-6 of Applicant's response). Applicant states, "The thin film amorphous semiconductor layer [of JP '791] forming a p-n junction is directly facing the light transmitting member" (see page 5 of Applicant's response). This argument is not persuasive because Figure 2 of JP '791 clearly shows a transparent electrode 14, 18 formed at either side of the crystalline silicon substrate. Furthermore, Figure 1 clearly shows that light enters from both sides of the solar cell module. Thus, both surface members 3 and 4 are light-transmitting surface members and light is incident on both sides of the crystalline substrate 11. Since JP '791 and Yamagishi teach all of the elements recited in the instant claims, Applicant's arguments are not persuasive.

Page 9

Art Unit: 1753

9. Regarding the rejection of the claims over Hanoka, Yamagishi, and JP '791, Applicant relies upon the arguments addressed above.

#### Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Pat. No. 5,401,336 (Noguchi et al.) discloses the formation of heterojunction cells with and without intrinsic layers (col. 1, lines 13-48). The cells, which are low in cost and high in conversion efficiency, comprise crystalline silicon substrates and amorphous silicon semiconductor layers (col. 1, lines 15-18).
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian L. Mutschler whose telephone number is (571) 272-1341. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

blm

March 15, 2004

NAM NGUYE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700